

Application No. 10/774,504  
Amendment dated 22 July 2005  
Reply to Office Action of 23 June 2005

Page 2 of 2

**REMARKS**

The Examiner has expressed the view that the application contains claims directed to a number of patentably distinct species and has required, under 35 USC § 121, that the Applicant provisionally elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits.


The Applicant has provisionally elected, without traverse, species II (drawn to the hinge of Figures 5 - 6B), as identified by the Examiner. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 27 and 28 read on species II.

The Applicant disagrees with the Examiner's assertion that the application contains no generic claims.

The Applicant looks forward to receiving favourable action on this application.

Respectfully submitted,  
OYEN WIGGS GREEN & MUTALA

By:



Gavin M. Manning  
Registration No. 36,412